



Digital Innovation Hubs Transforming Business and Marketing Collaboration

Ilindena Sotirofski¹

Gentiana Kraja²

¹PhD Candidate, Public Administration Department
Aleksander Moisiu University of Durres,
Durres, Albania

²Prof. Asoc. Dr., Public Administration Department
Aleksander Moisiu University of Durres,
Durres, Albania

Received: 25 December 2023 / Accepted: 25 February 2024 / Published: 23 April 2024
© 2024 Ilindena Sotirofski and Gentiana Kraja

Doi: 10.56345/ijrdv11n1s136

Abstract

In the fast-evolving landscape of business and marketing, Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) have emerged as transformative catalysts, reshaping collaboration dynamics. The innovation hub, like incubators, R&D labs, and impact hubs, designates a physical space dedicated to fostering innovation within an organization or community. Defining an innovation hub proves challenging, as it is often linked to an open co-working space with a stylish design and tools for creative thinking. However, it extends beyond being merely a physical space for idea generation. A well-designed physical space facilitates in-person collaboration, while a digital platform enables global collaboration, transcending geographic constraints. Innovation hubs embody a distinctive entrepreneurial culture marked by a global perspective and values that often prioritize social behavior. Particularly in regions with conservative politics and cultural norms, these hubs can function as a secure environment for embracing culturally unfamiliar behaviors and mindsets. A Digital Innovation Hub (DIH) serves as an all-encompassing structure, empowering organizations to enhance competitiveness through the optimization of processes and the innovation of products and services using innovative digital technologies.

Keywords: Digital, Innovation, Business, Marketing, Collaboration

1. Introduction

A DIH serves as a link for organizations, accelerating connections with investors and providing insights into digital transformation (European Commission, 2019), (Sarraipa, et al., 2023). They have the task of stimulating and promoting the demand for innovation in the production system and strengthening the level of knowledge and awareness regarding the opportunities offered by digitalization (Georgescu, Avasilcai, & Peter, Digital Innovation Hubs—The Present Future of Collaborative Research, Business and Marketing Development Opportunities, 2021). An essential aspect of an innovation hub involves fostering innovation through the establishment of a learning environment that assists the exchange and growth of ideas (Siebert, Wasserman, & Fisher, 2019). The Digital Innovation Hub (DIH) is a topic that researchers are exploring, which aims to create a digital innovation community by bringing together various settings and industries, where people share their knowledge, experiences, and technologies (Hervas-Oliver, Gonzalez-Alcaide, Rojas-Alvarado, & Monto-Mompo, 2021). The European Union wanted to make the enterprises' digital transformation towards Industry 4.0 a reality by dedicating the Digital Europe Program's financial perspective of 6 years to the digital competences with the aim

of providing access to technological knowledge for improved assessment of digital transformation project feasibility (Ujwary-Gil & Florek-Paszowska, 2022). Digital Innovation Hubs stand out as pivotal strategies for fostering Industry 4.0 and promoting digitalization within companies (Hervás-Oliver & Artés, 2021). Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) can enhance their ability to create lasting value by adopting sustainability practices (Stojanova, et al., 2022). Additionally, formulating strategies for sustainability can help them seize new business opportunities (Miörner, Kalpaka, Sörvik, & Wernberg, 2019).

This research investigates how these hubs are changing the way businesses and marketing teams work together in the digital age. Focused on understanding the complexities of DIHs, the study examines their impact on encouraging innovation, enhancing collaboration, and creating new opportunities for business and marketing development.

The primary aim of this qualitative research is to sort out the complex ways in which Digital Innovation Hubs are influencing collaborative efforts in the realms of business and marketing. The study seeks to identify key drivers, challenges, and outcomes associated with the integration of DIHs in organizational strategies. This research begins by outlining the key aspects of the topic, followed by an exploration of the significance of Digital Innovation Hubs and an examination of relevant literature to understand their impact on business and marketing collaboration. Following the introduction, the paper elucidates the chosen methodology. Subsequently, the obtained results are presented, concluding with final remarks and practical suggestions.

The key themes that this research discusses are related to:

- ⇒ Innovation Dynamics within Digital Hubs which explores how DIHs facilitate and drive innovation within the business and marketing domains. The role of digital technologies, open collaboration spaces, and cross-disciplinary interactions will then be discussed.
- ⇒ Collaborative Structures and Strategies employed within DIHs to enhance business and marketing outcomes. It is important to assess the effectiveness of open innovation models, co-creation initiatives, and strategic partnerships in fostering a collaborative ecosystem.
- ⇒ The impact on Business Development particularly in terms of product/service innovation and market competitiveness. The contribution of DIHs to the acceleration of business growth and the cultivation of a dynamic entrepreneurial environment will be discussed further in the research.
- ⇒ Marketing Paradigm Shifts. This paper will explore the transformative effects of DIHs on traditional marketing approaches. And will examine how digital collaboration platforms, data analytics, and customer-centric strategies redefine marketing paradigms and enhance customer engagement.

However, despite the positive aspects, it is necessary to identify the challenges faced by businesses and marketing professionals in integrating DIHs into their strategies. Many countries and the European Union are working in this regard to uncover opportunities for overcoming barriers and optimizing the potential of DIHs in a rapidly changing digital landscape (Dwivedi, et al., 2021).

This research is expecting to provide a detailed understanding of the transformative role of Digital Innovation Hubs in the collaborative fields of business and marketing. The findings may contribute valuable insights to both academic scholarship and industry practices. By shedding light on the innovation dynamics, collaborative structures, and impact on business and marketing development, this research aims to inform decision-makers, strategists, and practitioners seeking to leverage the potential of DIHs in their organizational contexts.

2. Literature Review

Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) are integral to the Digitizing European Industry (DEI) strategy. Whether operating as a singular entity or a coordinated group, DIHs, characterized by their diverse expertise and nonprofit orientation, actively contribute to the digital transformation of companies and the public sector. DIHs enhance stakeholder competitiveness through valuable assets, facilitating the improvement of business and production processes via digital technology (Sassanelli, Terzi, Panetto, & Doumeingts, 2021). These hubs provide four primary types of functions:

One function of DIHs (Digital Innovation Hubs) is to serve as an innovation ecosystem and networking facilitator. It acts as a mediator, bringing together industries, businesses, and administrations seeking innovative technological solutions with companies, particularly start-ups and SMEs, that have readily available solutions for the market (Rissola & Sörvik, 2018).

Another key function of DIHs involves providing support in securing investments. Their role is to assist companies, especially SMEs and start-ups, as well as organizations and public administrations, in enhancing competitiveness and refining business models through the utilization of new technologies covered by the program (Guner, 2020).

Another crucial function of DIHs is to focus on skills and training. They aim to provide support in relation to advanced digital skills, ensuring individuals and organizations have the expertise needed to navigate and excel in the ever-evolving digital landscape. (Asplund, Macedo, & Sassanelli, 2021)

When it comes to the final function of DIHs that involves pre-investment testing, it serves to create awareness, and provide access to expertise, know-how, and digital transformation services. This encompasses the use of testing facilities to ensure a thorough evaluation before making investment commitments (Vakirayi & Belle, 2020).

In addition to the influence of emerging technologies, the ongoing transformations in our economy and society are equally shaped by the appearance of new business models which succeed because they use digital technology for increased productivity. (Oeij, Dhondt, Rus, & Hootegem, 2019). An innovative hub can be valuable for companies seeking to tackle the challenges of digital transformation. Successfully navigating digital transformation requires having a sharp vision, fostering an innovative culture, and being able to learn and collaborate across various skills and resources. Innovation is a fundamental driver of productivity and competitiveness in business. (Tagscherer & Carbon, 2023). Therefore, policies addressing this aspect can play a decisive role in promoting the adoption of digital technology by businesses. Many European countries have approved policies to facilitate the implementation of digital technology but according to OECD (2019), policy makers face challenges in the context of digital transformation in designing funding schemes to support innovation in new areas.

Companies are increasingly incorporating digital technologies into their innovation activities. Therefore, Digital Innovation Hubs aim to help small and medium European Businesses to adopt modern technologies by combining financial, networking, access to infrastructure and technologies in their products and services. They provide access to the latest knowledge and technology to support customers with testing digital innovations (European Union, 2022). The concept of Digital Innovation Hubs involves different actors from organizations to support digital transformation at company level, innovation ecosystems and internationalization (Wintjes & Vargas, 2023). DIHs offer diverse services in collaboration with other hubs which are not easily accessible elsewhere. In a typical ecosystem a DIH tries to provide a set of necessary resources that support digital transformation. Collaboration with other DIHs can enable a DIH to offer personalized solutions. DIHs are focused on enterprises with low digital technology applications that cannot meet the technological development challenges (Sassanelli & Terzi, The D-BEST Based digital innovation hub customer journey analysis method: Configuring DIHs unique value proposition, 2022). Nevertheless, various organizations lack the financial resources and expertise to determine the optimal technology for their needs or to strategically expand their investments in digital technologies. The European Union established investment programs with the aim to help organizations understand and incorporate digital technologies. In Europe, there are presently around 309 operational Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs), with Italy standing out as one of the countries featuring a significant national presence, hosting a total of thirty-seven operational DIHs. The EU budget 2021-2027 foresees a series of financing programs with the aim of promoting innovation and research. Every organization approaches digital transformation differently, influenced by development cycles, investments, and industry maturity (Plekhanov, Franke, & Netland, 2023). Market demands often force organizations to adapt swiftly. Integrating collaboration opportunities, such as partnerships with incubators and accelerators, into strategic management or R&D investments can drive effective digital transformation (Georgescu, Avasilcai, & Peter, Digital Innovation Hubs—The Present Future of Collaborative Research, Business and Marketing Development Opportunities., 2020). Due to the evolving innovation landscape, companies find internal R&D insufficient for competitive advantage. To address this, they embrace open innovation, collaborating externally with universities, peers, suppliers, and competitors. Innovation hubs play a crucial role in accelerating problem-solving and idea generation, contributing to the growth of this approach across diverse sectors (Giaccone & Longo, 2016).

3. Methodology

Employing a qualitative approach, the research methodology combines in-depth semi-structured interviews, and participant observations in multiple short periods of times during the year 2023. A purposive sampling strategy is employed to select diverse DIHs, ensuring representation across different sectors and locations. DIHs in different cities of Italy and Poland were visited during 2023. This approach allows for a nuanced exploration of the subject matter, capturing the rich experiences and perspectives of those involved in or affected by the transformative influence of DIHs. To honor participant requests for anonymity, their identities will be kept confidential, and each participant will be assigned a unique number. In addition to conducting interviews, this research is enriched by gathering data from diverse scientific research sources, employing keywords such as DIH, technology, digital innovation, and business.

4. Results

The information collected from interviews and participant observations undergoes analysis to derive meaningful results. The information obtained during interviews and observations is examined to draw conclusions. The analysis of data from interviews and participant observations helps us formulate key findings.

4.1 DIH impact on business collaboration

An innovation hub, whether physical or virtual, fosters collaboration, facilitates knowledge sharing, and boosts innovation for businesses.

In the interview with participant number 1, a managing director, it became evident that their company actively engaged with the DIH, leading to a substantial impact on their business partnerships. The participant emphasized the DIH's role as a neutral space where diverse companies converge, fostering innovation and knowledge exchange. This collaborative setting has enabled these businesses to form partnerships that might have been challenging to achieve otherwise, fortifying their business network.

Reflecting on the insights gained from the interview with participant number 3, it became clear that Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) carry a considerable influence from a business standpoint. These hubs have evolved into focal points for creativity and effective problem-solving, fostering seamless collaboration among teams and breaking down traditional departmental barriers. Within the dynamic environment of DIHs, teams are finding innovative solutions and working cohesively, contributing to a transformative impact on business practices.

Participant number 4, a Technology Innovator, highlighted the transformative nature of collaboration within the DIH. Acting as a knowledge hub, the DIH enables participants to stay well-informed of the latest technological advancements. Through collaborative projects, businesses use modern technologies to elevate the scope and impact of their collaborations. Additionally, the participant emphasized that the DIH plays a crucial role in breaking down silos between different sectors, fostering innovative solutions and positively influencing collaborative efforts.

4.2 DIH impact on traditional Marketing

As it is explained by participant nr. 2 Digital Innovation Hubs have revolutionized the collaborative efforts in marketing. The shared digital workspace facilitates real-time collaboration, enabling swift exchange of ideas. The participant emphasized that the DIH has helped to significantly improve their agility in responding to market trends. An innovation hub accelerates idea development and validation by offering resources, infrastructure, and support services such as labs, mentoring, acceleration programs, investor connections, and access to emerging technologies. This facilitates the faster transformation of ideas into tangible products or services. When asked about the impact of DIHs on traditional marketing, the participant highlighted that these hubs offer access to tools and technologies that boost the efficiency of marketing processes. They emphasized that automation, data analytics, and streamlined workflows play a crucial role in ensuring cost-effectiveness in traditional marketing campaigns. The participant explained that by using digital innovation, DIHs help businesses enhance customer engagement through various channels, including social media, interactive content, and personalized experiences. This contributes to a more dynamic and customer-centric approach in traditional marketing.

Participant nr. 5, a technology specialist highlighted the importance of DIHs in providing innovative technologies, empowering companies to explore and adopt digital solutions effectively. DIHs offer services that include Communication Networks, Internet of Things, Cybersecurity, Cloud Services, Technological Innovation, Virtual Reality, and many more. This may help businesses to stay competitive and increase their market presence. Participant nr. 5 did not hesitate to emphasize that the impact of Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) on traditional marketing is profound. These hubs act as promoters for integrating advanced technologies into marketing strategies, revolutionizing the way businesses engage with their audiences. Participant nr.5 listed the impact of DIHs on traditional marketing from their point of view as follows:

Integration of Advanced Technologies – DIHs facilitate the integration of innovative technologies into traditional marketing practices. This includes leveraging artificial intelligence, machine learning, augmented reality, and other innovative tools to enhance the overall effectiveness of marketing campaigns.

Data-Driven Decision-Making – DIHs empower technology specialists and marketing professionals to implement robust data analytics frameworks in traditional marketing. By using the power of data, businesses can make informed decisions, optimize campaigns, and personalize content, leading to more targeted and impactful marketing efforts.

Continuous Innovation – DIHs foster a culture of continuous innovation. By encouraging experimentation and collaboration, these hubs contribute to the development and implementation of novel marketing technologies and strategies.

4.3 Participant observations

While visiting these DIHs, a notable observation unfolded: coordinated creativity in action. In the active environment of the Digital Innovation Hub, a fascinating transformation in business and marketing collaboration unfolds. Teams gather around shared digital workspaces, engaging in a dynamic exchange of ideas and insights. The collaborative spirit is evident, with members effortlessly integrating diverse perspectives. The hub serves as a mechanism for creativity, and fostering an atmosphere where innovation improves. This participant observation highlights the transformative power of Digital Innovation Hubs in cultivating a culture of coordinated creativity among professionals.

Another notable observation made during the visits was the skillful and successful handling of difficulties or obstacles, leading to significant and innovative achievements or advancements. The observed individuals demonstrated proficiency in overcoming challenges and reaching notable milestones. Within the Digital Innovation Hub, a unique landscape of collaboration emerges as business and marketing professionals navigate challenges and pursue breakthroughs. Observing these interactions reveals a problem-solving dynamic where teams face obstacles directly, by using digital tools and working together with shared resources. The hub becomes a space for collective learning, as participants exchange strategies and overcome hurdles together. This observation stresses the crucial role of Digital Innovation Hubs in transforming collaboration by providing a platform for addressing challenges collectively and achieving meaningful developments in the realms of business and marketing.

However, while Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs) offer numerous advantages, there are also challenges and potential drawbacks that businesses may encounter. Some of these drawbacks are:

Implementation Costs – Setting up and maintaining a DIH can involve significant direct costs. Businesses may face financial challenges in establishing the necessary infrastructure and acquiring the latest technologies, especially for small or resource-constrained enterprises.

Resistance to Change – Traditional marketing teams may resist or face challenges in adapting to the new technological landscape introduced by DIHs. Overcoming resistance to change and fostering a culture of innovation within the organizational barrier.

Data Privacy and Security Concerns – As DIHs often involve the collection and analysis of substantial amounts of data, businesses need to address concerns related to data privacy and security. Compliance with regulations becomes crucial, and mishandling sensitive information may lead to legal and reputational issues.

5. Conclusion and Suggestions

As we explore the digital world, Digital Innovation Hubs become important sources of collaboration in business and marketing. This research looks closely at how they make a difference, studying how innovation happens, the ways people work together, and the real results we see from using DIHs. By finding out what problems and chances there are, this study adds useful information to the ongoing talk about digital innovation. It also gives a guide for businesses and marketing individuals who want help navigating the changing world of working together in the digital age. Observations reveal that DIHs redefine collaborative strategies, breaking down traditional barriers and promoting cross-disciplinary teamwork. The shared digital workspace facilitates real-time collaboration, improving overall project efficiency and responsiveness to market trends.

As Digital Innovation Hubs continue to evolve as transformative agents, further research into user experiences, long-term sustainability, cross-cultural dynamics, quantitative impact measurements, technology integrations, small business strategies, and global perspectives will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of their role in shaping the collaborative landscape of business and marketing.

Thriving in the digital era requires collaboration and access to innovative technology. Organizations are encouraged to partner with Digital Innovation Hubs (DIHs), exploring their resources and investing in cross-border digital innovation experiments to ensure competitiveness and strategic development in a rapidly evolving global landscape.

The authors suggest the development of a clear strategic plan outlining how DIH integration aligns with overall business and marketing goals. Define specific objectives, allocate resources efficiently, and establish a roadmap for implementation. Also, investing in training programs to bridge skill gaps among employees may ensure that the marketing

team is well-equipped to adopt the technologies introduced by DIHs, fostering a culture of continuous learning and adaptation.

Another suggestion is to clearly communicate the benefits of DIHs, involve key stakeholders in decision-making, and provide support for employees transitioning to new processes. It becomes necessary to implement effective change management strategies to address resistance within the organization.

Furthermore, the authors recommend implementing ongoing evaluation and feedback mechanisms. Consistently evaluate the influence of DIH integration on traditional marketing methods, making necessary adjustments to tackle emerging challenges and bring successful outcomes. By embracing these strategies, businesses can effectively navigate the challenges linked to DIH integration into traditional marketing, optimizing the positive effects on innovation and collaboration.

References

- Abuaf, N., and Jorion P. (1990). Purchasing Power Parity in the Long Run. *Journal of Finance*, 157-174.
- Adler, M., & Lehmann, B. (1983). Deviation from PPP in the long run. *Journal of Finance*, 50(38), 1971-1987.
- Agresti, A. (1990). *Categorical Data Analysis*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.
- Alba, J., and Papell, D. (2007). Purchasing power parity and country characteristics: Evidence from panel data tests. *Journal of Development Economics*, 83(1), 240-251.
- AlMashaqbeh, S., Hernandez, J.E.M. (2023). Risk Analysis under a Circular Economy Context Using a Systems Thinking Approach. <https://www.mdpi.com/2071-1050/15/5/4141>.
- ASET. (n.d.). <file:///C:/Users/Lenovo/Downloads/Guide%20mbi%20ekonomine%20qarkulluese.pdf> [Retrieved 25.09.2023].
- Asplund, F., Macedo, H. D., & Sassanelli, C. (2021). Problematising the Service Portfolio of Digital. *22nd Working Conference on Virtual Enterprises*, 433-440. Retrieved from https://pure.au.dk/ws/portalfiles/portal/281935293/40_pro_ve_vf.pdf
- Ayyub, B. (2014). *Risk Analysis in Engineering and Economics*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, ISBN 1466518251.
- Balassa, B. (1964). The Purchasing Power Parity Doctrine: A Reappraisal. *Journal of Political Economy*, 72(6), 584-596.
- Beko, J., and Borsic, D. (2007). Purchasing power parity in transition economies: Does it hold in the Czech Republic, Hungary and Slovenia? *Post-Communist Economies*, 417-428.
- Bhagwati, J. (1984). Why are services cheaper in the poor Countries. *The Economic Journal*, 94, 279-286.
- Cassel, G. (1918). Abnormal deviations in international exchanges. *The Economic Journal*, 72, 584-596.
- Coakley, J. (2000). Is There a Base Currency Effect In Long-Run PPP? *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, 5, 253-263.
- Crowder, W. (1996). A Reexamination of Long-Run PPP: The Case of Canada, the UK, and the US. *Review of International Economics*, 4(1), 64-78.
- Cumby, R., and Huizinga, J. (1988). Consumption risk and international equity returns: some empirical evidence. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 9(2), 182-192.
- Cumby, R., and Obstfeld, M. (1984). *International Interest-Rate and Price-Level Linkages Under Flexible Exchange Rates: A Review of Recent Evidence*. The National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Davidson, R., and MacKinnon, J. (2004). *Econometric Theory and Methods*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Dickey, D., and Fuller, W. (1979). Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(366), 427-431.
- Donaghy, K. A. (2022). <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11067-022-09559-8>.
- Dornbusch, R. (1994). *Exchange Rates and Inflation*. Massachusetts: The MIT Press.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., . . . Raman, R. (2021). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. *International Journal of Information Management*, 59.
- E.Hysa, A.Kruja, N.U.Rehman and R.Laurenti. (2020). 10.3390/su12124831.
- Engle, R., & Granger, C. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-276.
- EnvNet. (2018). http://env-net.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/CE_Report_2018_Albania.pdf.
- EU Commission. (2015). https://environment.ec.europa.eu/topics/circular-economy/first-circular-economy-action-plan_en Retrieved 25.09.2023.
- European Commission. (2019). *Digital Innovation Hubs: helping companies across the economy make the most of digital opportunities*. Brussels: Shaping Europe's digital future.
- European Union. (2022). *A Policy Brief from the Policy Learning Platform on SME Competitiveness*. Interreg Europe. Retrieved from https://www.interregurope.eu/sites/default/files/good_practices/Policy%20brief%20on%20digital%20hubs%20PLP%20.pdf
- Flood, R., and Taylor, M. (1996). *Exchange Rate Economics: What Wrong with the Conventional Macro Approach?* Chicago: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Frankel, J. (1978). Purchasing power parity: Doctrinal perspective and evidence from the 1920s. *Journal of International Economics*, 8(2), 169-191.

- Frankel, J., & Rose, A. (1996). A panel project on purchasing power parity: Mean reversion within and between countries. *Journal of International Economics*, 40, 209-224.
- Froot, K., and Rogoff, K. (1995). Perspectives on PPP and Long-Run Real Exchange Rates. *Handbook of International Economics*, 3, 1647-1688.
- Georgescu, A., Avasilcai, S., & Peter, M. K. (2020). Digital Innovation Hubs—The Present Future of Collaborative Research, Business and Marketing Development Opportunities. *Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*, 205, 363-374. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sandra-Miranda-2/publication/349929783_Communication_in_Times_of_Pandemic_Analysis_of_Engagement_on_Social_Networks/links/62ebffe45322476937cc789/Communication-in-Times-of-Pandemic-Analysis-of-Engagement-on-Social-Net
- Georgescu, A., Avasilcai, S., & Peter, M. K. (2021). Digital Innovation Hubs—The Present Future of Collaborative Research, Business and Marketing Development Opportunities. In Á. Rocha, J. Reis, M. Peter, R. Cayolla, S. Loureiro, & Z. Bogdanović (Eds.), *Marketing and Smart Technologies. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies* (Vol. 205, pp. 363-374). Singapore.
- Giaccone, S. C., & Longo, C. (2016). Insights on the Innovation Hub's Design and Management. *International Journal of Technology Marketing*, 11(1). doi:10.1504/IJTMKT.2016.073318
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: an analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>.
- Guner, O. (2020). Digital Innovation Hubs within the scope of the European Union Innovation Policy. In G. Ekinçi, & B. Akyılmaz (Eds.), *The Last Technological Innovations and Its Effects on Growth Process, Labor* (pp. 161-178). Livre de Lyon.
- Haimes, Y. (2009). Risk Modeling, Assessment, and Management, 3rd ed.; Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, ISBN 9780470282373.
- Hervás-Oliver, J.-L., & Artés, A. A. (2021). The Digitization of European business. The Digital Innovation Hubs, what is next? *Digital Economy and Innovation Journal*, 1(1), 38-58.
- Hervas-Oliver, J.-L., Gonzalez-Alcaide, G., Rojas-Alvarado, R., & Monto-Mompo, S. (2021). Emerging regional innovation policies for industry 4.0: analyzing the digital innovation hub program in European regions. *Competitiveness Review*, 31(1), 106-129.
- Huizinga, J. (1987). An empirical investigation of the long-run behavior of real exchange rates. *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, (pp. 149-214).
- Hysa et al. (2020). Circular Economy Innovation and Environmental Sustainability Impact on Economic Growth: An Integrated Model for Sustainable Development. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/342130289_Circular_Economy_Innovation_and_Environmental_Sustainability_Impact_on_Economic_Growth_An_Integrated_Model_for_Sustainable_Development.
- INSTAT. (2021). <https://www.instat.gov.al/en/themes/industry-trade-and-services/structural-business-statistics/publication/2023/statistics-on-small-and-medium-enterprises-2021>.
- Isard, P. (1995). *Exchange Rate Economics*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Johansen, S. (1988). Estimation and Hypothesis Testing of Cointegration Vectors in Gaussian Vector Autoregressive Models. *Econometrica*, 59(6), 1551-1580.
- Kazancoglu, Y. O.-O. (2022). Risk assessment for sustainability in e-waste recycling in circular economy. *Clean Techn Environ Policy*. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10098-020-01901-3#citeas>.
- Kazancoglu, Y., Deniz, Y., Ozen, O., Kumar, S., & Mangey, M. (2022). Risk assessment for sustainability in e-waste recycling in circular economy. *Clean Technol. Environ. Policy*. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10098-020-01901-3>.
- Knetter, M. (1992). *International Comparisons of Pricing-to-Market Behavior*. The National Bureau of economic Research.
- Kyle J. Rotchie, E. C. (2021). *Circular Economy for dummies*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Lafrance, R., & Schembri, L. (2002). *Purchasing-power parity: definition, measurement, and interpretation*. Canada: Bank of Canada Review.
- Lenssen, J.J & Dentchev, N. &. (2014). Sustainability, Risk management and governance: towards an integrative approach. *Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280193893_Sustainability_Risk_management_and_governance_towards_an_integrative_approach.
- Lin, S., Shen, B., Yong, S., Angelo, M., Promentilla, B., & Yatim, P. (2019). Prioritization of sustainability indicators for promoting the circular economy: The case of developing countries. *Renew. Sustain. Energy*.
- M.Sarfraz, L.Ivascu, A.E.Arten, N.Bobitan, D.Dumitrescu, O.Bogdan & Valentin Burca. (2022). 10.1080/1331677X.2022.2101019.
- MacArthur, E. (n.d.). <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/what-is-the-linear-economy> [Retrieved 24.09.2023].
- MacArthur, E. (2013). <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/towards-the-circular-economy-vol-1-an-economic-and-business-rationale-for-an>.
- MacArthur, E. (2021). <https://ellenmacarthurfoundation.org/articles/why-our-current-economy-will-not-work-long-term>.
- Miörner, J., Kalpaka, A., Sörvik, J., & Wernberg, J. (2019). *Exploring heterogeneous Digital Innovation Hubs in their context*. Luxembourg: Gabriel Rissola; Annita Kalpaka.
- Nazaj & Tolica. (2014). Environmental Policies and Sustainable Development in Transition Countries: Case Study of Albania. https://www.academia.edu/81802735/Environmental_Policies_and_Sustainable_Development_in_Transition_Countries_Case_Study_of_Albania.
- Nogueira, E., Gomes, S., & Lopes, J. (2023). https://www.researchgate.net/publication/370029243_Triple_Bottom_Line_Sustainability_and_Economic_Development_What_Binds_Them_Together_A_Bibliometric_Approach.
- O'Connell, P. (1998). The overvaluation of purchasing power parity. *Journal of International Economics*, 44, 1-19.

- Oeij, P. R., Dhondt, S., Rus, D., & Hoetegem, G. V. (2019). The digital transformation requires workplace innovation: an introduction . *Int. J. Technology Transfer and Commercialisation*, 16(3), 199-207.
- Papell, D. (1997). Searching for Stationarity: Purchasing Power Parity Under the Current Float. *Journal of International Economics*, 43, 313-332.
- Philip, R. (2000). *The Impact of Productivity Differentials on Inflation and the Real Exchange Rate: an Estimation of the Balassa-Samuelson Effect in Slovenia*. IMF Staff Country Report.
- Phillips, P., and Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression. *Biometrika*, 75(2), 335-346.
- Planes-Satorra, S., & Paunov, C. (2019). *The Digital Innovation Policy Landscape in 2019*. OECD. Retrieved from https://read.oecd-ilibrary.org/science-and-technology/the-digital-innovation-policy-landscape-in-2019_6171f649-en#page3
- Plekhanov, D., Franke, H., & Netland, T. H. (2023). Digital transformation: A review and research agenda. *European Management Journal*, 41(6), 821-844. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2022.09.007>
- Prendi, L., Borakaj, D., & Prendi, K. (2023). The new money laundering machine through cryptocurrency: Current and future public governance challenges. *Corporate Law & Governance Review*, 84-94. doi:10.22495/clgrv5i2p9
- Prendi, Llambi; Gashi, Jonida. (2023). Albanian Green Economy Trends. In *Creating a Roadmap Towards Circularity in the Built Environment*. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-45980-1_15
- Prendi, Llambi; Murrja, Arif. (2023). How Are the Balkan Countries Progressing Toward Green Economy? *Review of Economics and Finance*. doi:10.55365/1923.x2023.21.20
- Rissola, G., & Sörvik, J. (2018). *Digital Innovation Hubs in Smart Specialisation Strategies*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union.
- Rogoff, K. (1996). The Purchasing Power Parity Puzzle. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 34(2), 647-668.
- Saidani, M. Y. (2018). A taxonomy of circular economy indicators. . <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/A-taxonomy-of-circular-economy-indicators-Saidani-Yannou/e9bf895c62aaffb08f4e693e98081284c212ce11>.
- Samuelson, P. (1964). Theoretical Notes on Trade Problems. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 46(2), 145-154.
- Sarraipa, J., Zamiri, M., Marcelino-Jesus, E., Artifice, A., Jardim-Goncalves, R., & Moalla, N. (2023). A Learning Framework for Supporting Digital Innovation Hubs. *Computers*, 12(6).
- Sassanelli, C., & Terzi, S. (2022). The D-BEST Based digital innovation hub customer journey analysis method: Configuring DIHs unique value proposition. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 14. doi:10.1177/18479790221124634
- Sassanelli, C., Terzi, S., Panetto, H., & Doumeings, G. (2021, June). Digital Innovation Hubs supporting SMEs digital. *27th IEEE International Conference on Engineering, Technology and Innovation*, 1-8.
- Siebert, E., Wasserman, M., & Fisher, S. (2019). Using Innovation Hubs as Global Educational Collaboration Centers: Changing the International Education Model. *Journal of International Business Research and Marketing*, 4(5), 19-23.
- Srinivasan, P. B. (2020). Sustainability Risk Management: An Exploratory Study. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3571257.
- Stojanova, S., Cvar, N., Verhovnik, J., Bozic, N., Trilar, J., Kos, A., & Duh, E. S. (2022). Rural Digital Innovation Hubs as a Paradigm for Sustainable Business Models in Europe's Rural Areas. *Sustainability*, 14(21).
- Tagscherer, F., & Carbon, C.-C. (2023). Leadership for successful digitalization: A literature review on companies' internal and external aspects of digitalization. *Sustainable Technology and Entrepreneurship*, 2(2). doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stae.2023.100039>
- Taylor, A. (2001). Potential pitfalls for the purchasing power parity puzzle? Sampling and specification biases in mean-reversion tests of the law of one price. *Econometrica*, 69, 473-498.
- Taylor, A., & Sarno, L. (1998). The behaviour of real exchange rates during the post-Bretton Woods period. *Journal of International Economics*, 46, 281-312.
- Taylor, M. (1988). An empirical examination of long-run purchasing power parity using cointegration techniques. *Applied Economics*, 20, 1369-1381.
- Taylor, M., and Taylor, P. (2004). The Purchasing Power Parity Debate. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 18(4), 135-158.
- Ujwary-Gil, A., & Florek-Paszowska, A. (2022). A Network Approach to the Digital Innovation Hub as an Ecosystem Supporting the Digital Transformation of Enterprises in a Region. Porto: ECIE; Fernando Moreira and Shital Jayantilal.
- Vakirayi, T., & Belle, J.-P. V. (2020). Exploring the role of digital innovation hubs in socioeconomic development. *Conference on Information Communications Technology and Society (ICTAS)*, 1-5. doi:doi: 10.1109/ICTAS47918.2020.233992.
- Webster, K. (2017). *The circular economy: A wealth of flows*. Ellen Macarthur Foundation.
- Wijethilake, C. & (2018). Wijethilake, C., & Lama, T. (2018). Sustainability core values and sustainability risk management: Moderating effects of top management commitment and stakeholder pressure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
- Wintjens, R., & Vargas, F. (2023). *Digital Innovation Hubs. Insights from European Experience in Supporting Business Digitalization*. Rachel Thalmann. Retrieved from <https://publications.iadb.org/publications/english/viewer/Digital-Innovation-Hubs-Insights-from-European-Experience-in-Supporting-Business-Digitalization.pdf>
- World Bank. (n.d.). <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/smefinance> [Retrieved 24.09.2023].
- Wu.D.D, O. (2009). <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/10807030902760967>.